

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,305	PRUSS ET AL.
	Examiner Wayne Langel	Art Unit 1754

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Wayne Langel. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Abel. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-49

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Abel that the restriction requirement was being modified to the extent that claims 11-13 are considered to be linking only among the inventions of Groups I, V, VI and VII as outlined in the restriction requirement made 10-27-05, and not among all the groups of inventions. Mr. Abel agreed to cancel claims 14-29 as drawn to non-elected inventions..